



Assessment in Australia: towards adaptation

Mark Stafford Smith | Science Director

Dialogue on National Climate Change Assessment , London, 6th February 2013

CLIMATE ADAPTATION FLAGSHIP

www.csiro.au



Context, policy and history

- **Roles**

- Australian Government – position paper, *Adapting to Climate Change in Australia* (2010); Department of Climate Change and Energy Efficiency
- Bureau of Meteorology
- CSIRO (climate science with BoM, projections, mitigation, adaptation)

- **Climate change in policy**

- Climate change science, formal programs – domestic and Pacific
- Mitigation – carbon price and domestic politics, etc
- Adaptation...

- **Past priorities for adaptation**

- **Vulnerability qualitatively assessed (though Garnaut Review 2008 + AR4)**
 - natural ecosystems, infrastructure, agriculture, water supply and coastal management; + extreme events and indigenous communities
- **Productivity Commission report 2012, but did not quantify at all**
- **Regular Intergenerational Report from Treasury on social/fiscal issues**

Recent and current activities

- **Various sectoral analyses**
 - Projections for Australia 2007 – Climate Online (CMIP derived)
 - Agriculture (ABARE)
 - National Coastal Vulnerability Assessment
 - Many local or sub-sectoral impacts and vulnerability assessments
- **Specific ~national impacts +/- adaptation analyses**
 - Concrete, heat, SLR, extreme winds analysis, environmental change, South-East Queensland integrated regional study (others coming)
 - **Not much systematic on impacts or adaptation for whole economy**
- **In train**
 - Updated projections: Climate Futures web site (CSIRO, BoM)
 - Climate Futures Report (*cf.* UK reports) (DCCEE with support)
 - National Adaptation Assessment (CSIRO CAF led)

Climate Futures Report

- **Policy commitment by Cabinet for DCCEE, but not legislated**
 - Establish through 2 reports then repeat ~5yly. First report Jun 2013
- **Aim: to monitor progress in managing risks – *i.e. adaptation***
 - ***Outcomes***: is Australia achieving good adaptation outcomes?
 - ***Activities***: what is being done to manage the potential impacts of climate change (strategic planning, capacity building, on-ground works etc)?
 - ***Drivers and enablers***: do policy settings, institutional arrangements and governance practices support effective climate change adaptation?
- **Some characteristics**
 - **Explicitly normative set of proposed desirable outcomes for Australia**
 - *Australians able to enjoy opportunities within societally-acceptable levels of risk*
 - *Inter-generational and intra-generational equity considered*
 - **Various scales of analysis (selectively national, regional, sectoral)**
- **Thinking about indicators**

National Adaptation Assessment

1. A systematic shallow look across all sectors of the economy

- Assessing adaptations needed for 2C warming, and for 4C+
- How drastic is each? How prepared are we for them?

Q: how to segment the economy given a decision focus?

2. A deep dive into a few key subsectors

- Infrastructure, NRM, primary industries, water?

3. Case studies of emergent economy-wide effects

- Scheduling, inter-sectoral effects, etc. Poorly understood.

What adaptation should be happening by 2030 if we are on a trajectory to 2°C? (Mainly incremental/transitional adaptation and risk management)	What adaptation should be happening by 2030 if we are on a trajectory to 4°C? (Mainly transformational adaptation and robust decision making under uncertainty)
<p>Few impacts on human health likely by 2030, except possible increase in heat-related illnesses and mental health issues associated with drought.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Develop heat wave warning systems.• Better management of heat stress and related logistics e.g. involvement of emergency management staff rather than just relying on ambulance and hospital staff.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Improved monitoring of mosquito-borne diseases.• New healthcare capacity and medical skills to deal with previously unforeseen diseases and climate related health problems.• Comprehensive assessment of all the pathways by which climate change will adversely affect public health, including mental health, and indirectly via environmental and dietary changes.• Fundamental changes to urban design to reduce urban heat island effects and equity implications for heat stress

Framing policy stances for adaptation responses: built infrastructure

Anticipate: act on best estimate of future risks, or “what might happen” (more-or-less precautionary).

React: act on demonstrated present risks, or “what has happened”.


Business-as-usual: follows today’s trends and practices, only fixing when actually damaged.

Project in progress:
- How proactive should policy be?
- When should action start?
(for different impacts and regions)

Protect if ...	Protect existing assets against climate hazard X if: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Exposure to future hazard exceeds the <i>defined exposure trigger</i> [ET], based on the high climate outlook for the relevant asset life; andProtection expenditures for the most cost effective option are less than C% of the current replacement cost of the assets at risk;-
otherwise accommodate if ...	Accommodate through upgrade of existing assets if: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Exposure to future hazard exceeds the <i>defined exposure trigger</i> [ET] as above; andUpgrading asset design standard reduces expected damage to <i>acceptable levels</i> and is generally expected to be cost effective over asset life, based on high climate outlook;
and only retreat if ...	Retreat existing assets if: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Exposure to future hazard exceeds the <i>defined exposure trigger</i> [ET] as above; andLocation of asset does not meet cost effectiveness criteria for protection above; orNo cost effective protection option has been identified; andNo cost effective accommodate option or upgrade has been identified.

Challenges and reflections

- **No strong desire to do more impacts and vulnerability assessment at national level**
 - **Despite the political case not being fully made**
 - Anti-mitigation case mainly '*pointless without US, China and India*', not potential effects on Australia
 - Need for adaptation ~accepted, but not for strong government action nor beyond incremental change
 - **Need to make the case to governments that investment in adaptation is cost effective**
 - Especially in disaster preparedness
 - Not just that it can work (e.g. we know cyclone standards do!)
 - **And determine whether the nation is acting**



www.csiro.au/ca
mark.staffordsmith@csiro.au

CLIMATE ADAPTATION FLAGSHIP
www.csiro.au

